

MOTIVIC STABLE HOMOTOPY AND THE STABLE 51 AND 52 STEMS

DANIEL C. ISAKSEN AND ZHOULI XU

ABSTRACT. We establish a differential $d_2(D_1) = h_0^2 h_3 g_2$ in the 51-stem of the Adams spectral sequence at the prime 2, which gives the first correct calculation of the stable 51 and 52 stems. This differential is remarkable since we know of no way to prove it without recourse to the motivic Adams spectral sequence. It is the last undetermined differential in the range of the first author's detailed calculations of the n -stems for $n < 60$ [6]. This note advertises the use of the motivic Adams spectral sequence to obtain information about classical stable homotopy groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of computing the stable homotopy groups of spheres is of fundamental importance in algebraic topology. Although this subject has been studied for a very long time, the Adams spectral sequence is still the best way to do stemwise computations at the prime 2.

Bruner produced a computer-generated table of d_2 differentials in the Adams spectral sequence [4]. He started with his theorem on the interaction between Adams differentials and squaring operations [3] to obtain several values of the d_2 differential. Then he methodically exploited all (primary) multiplicative relations, both forwards and backwards, to deduce more and more values of the d_2 differential. Through at least the 80-stem, this procedure gives the vast majority of the values of the d_2 differential.

In Bruner's approach, the first unknown value is $d_2(D_1)$, where D_1 is a certain element in the 52-stem. This gives a precise sense to the claim that $d_2(D_1)$ is "harder" than any previous d_2 differential.

Mark Mahowald communicated (unpublished) the following argument for the presence of the differential $d_2(D_1) = h_0^2 h_3 g_2$. First, there is an element x in the 37-stem that detects $\sigma\theta_4$ [2, Proposition 3.5.1]. Since $h_3^2 x = h_0^2 h_3 g_2$, we deduce that $h_0^2 h_3 g_2$ detects $\sigma^3\theta_4$. Now $\sigma^3 = \nu\nu_4$, so $h_0^2 h_3 g_2$ detects $\nu\nu_4\theta_4$. The final step is the claim that $\nu_4\theta_4$ is zero.

This final step turns out to be false; $\nu_4\theta_4$ is detected by $h_2 h_5 d_0$ [6, Lemma 4.2.90]. Mahowald's mistake arose from an incorrect understanding of the Toda bracket $\langle \theta_4, 2, \sigma^2 \rangle$ [6, Lemma 4.2.91].

In this note, we will repair the hole left by this mistake. The proof relies on motivic calculations in a fundamental way. In other words, our argument does not work if applied in the classical context. We will first establish a Massey product involving

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55Q10, 55Q45, 55T15.

Key words and phrases. stable homotopy group, Adams spectral sequence.

The second author was supported by NSF grant DMS-1202213.

the element D_1 . Then we will apply the higher Leibniz rule on the interaction of Adams differentials with Massey products [10]. The second author discovered this proof based on motivic calculations by the first author. We adopt notation from [6] without further explanation. For charts of the classical and motivic Adams spectral sequences, see [7].

The higher Leibniz rule of Moss [10] is an appealing result that has not been as useful in practice as one might expect. In applications, indeterminacies often interfere. The differential that we establish here is an unusually clear application of the higher Leibniz rule. Our work suggests that an extension of Bruner's program to methodically exploit all 3-fold Massey products is likely to lead to further new results about Adams d_2 differentials.

The origin of this note lies in the first author's analysis of the Adams spectral sequence through the 59-stem. The first author was able to give careful arguments for every Adams differential, with the sole exception of possible differentials on the element D_1 . The second author was instrumental in finishing the last remaining differential, whose argument we present here.

The chief consequence of our Adams differential calculation is the following results about the stable 51 and 52 stems.

Theorem 1.1. *The 2-primary order of the stable 51-stem is 128. As a group, the 2-primary stable 51-stem is either $\mathbb{Z}/8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ or $\mathbb{Z}/8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the Adams E_∞ -page. The first $\mathbb{Z}/8$ lies in the image of J and has a generator that is detected by $P^6 h_2$. The last $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is detected by $h_2 B_2$.

The remaining elements are detected by $h_3 g_2$, $h_0 h_3 g_2$, and gn . These elements assemble into $\mathbb{Z}/8$ if there is a hidden 2 extension from $h_0 h_3 g_2$ to gn , and they assemble into $\mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ if there is no hidden 2 extension. $\square$

Remark 1.2. According to [8], there is no hidden 2 extension in the stable 51-stem. However, this claim is inconsistent with other claims in [8], as discussed in [6, Remark 4.1.17]. Because of this uncertainty, we leave this 2 extension unresolved.

Theorem 1.3. *The 2-primary stable 52-stem is equal to $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$.*

Proof. This is immediate from the Adams E_∞ -page. According to [6], there are no possible hidden 2 extensions. $\square$

2. THE DIFFERENTIAL

The crucial calculation is the following lemma which is due to Tangora [11].

Lemma 2.1. *In the classical Adams E_2 page, we have a Massey product*

$$G = \langle h_1, h_0, D_1 \rangle.$$

Proof. This Massey product is proven using the Lambda algebra. Since the elements D_1 and G lie beyond the range of the published Curtis tables [5], Tangora used another Massey product to deduce that the representative of D_1 in the Lambda algebra has a leading term $\lambda_4 \lambda_7 \lambda_{11} \lambda_{15} \lambda_{15}$. One can also use unpublished results of Mahowald and Tangora to see directly that G is represented by $\lambda_2 \lambda_4 \lambda_7 \lambda_{11} \lambda_{15} \lambda_{15}$. Then the Massey product follows from $d(\lambda_2) = \lambda_1 \lambda_0$. $\square$

Remark 2.2. One cannot apply May’s convergence theorem [9] directly to get this Massey product from the May differential $d_2(h_2b_{22}b_{40}) = h_0D_1$. The problem is that there is a “crossing” May differential $d_4(h_1b_{3,1}^2) = h_1h_3g_2 + h_1h_5g$ that voids the hypotheses of May’s convergence theorem.

Remark 2.3. Bruner has verified this Massey product using computer data.

The classical calculation of Lemma 2.1 allow us to deduce the analogous motivic calculation.

Corollary 2.4. *In the motivic Adams E_2 page, we have a Massey product*

$$\tau G = \langle h_1, h_0, D_1 \rangle.$$

Proof. This is immediate from the fact that classical calculations can be recovered from motivic calculations by inverting τ [6]. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. *In the motivic Adams spectral sequence, $d_2(D_1) = h_0^2h_3g_2$.*

Proof. Corollary 2.4 gives us the Massey product $\tau G = \langle h_1, h_0, D_1 \rangle$ in the motivic Adams spectral sequence. Using the higher Leibniz rule of Moss [10], we have $d_2(\tau G) = \langle h_1, h_0, d_2(D_1) \rangle$ since there is no indeterminacy. Since $d_2(\tau G) = h_5c_0d_0$ [6, Lemma 3.3.12], we have $\langle h_1, h_0, d_2(D_1) \rangle = h_5c_0d_0$. In particular, this is nonzero. Then the only possibility is that $d_2(D_1) = h_0^2h_3g_2$. $\square$

Remark 2.6. Note that $h_0^2h_3g_2 = h_2^2h_5d_0$, so

$$\langle h_1, h_0, h_0^2h_3g_2 \rangle = \langle h_1, h_0, h_2^2h_5d_0 \rangle = \langle h_1, h_0, h_2^2 \rangle h_5d_0 = h_5c_0d_0,$$

as dictated by the higher Leibniz rule.

Corollary 2.7. *In the classical Adams spectral sequence, $d_2(D_1) = h_0^2h_3g_2$.*

Proof. This is immediate from the fact that classical calculations can be recovered from motivic calculations by inverting τ [6]. $\square$

Remark 2.8. In the classical Adams spectral sequence, $h_5c_0d_0$ and $d_2(G)$ are both zero. This means that our proof is strictly motivic in nature. We do not know a proof using only the classical Adams spectral sequence.

Remark 2.9. Using only classical information, we suggest a possible argument that D_1 does not survive the classical Adams spectral sequence. Start with the Massey product $G = \langle h_1, h_0, D_1 \rangle$ and the classical differential on $d_3(G) = Ph_5d_0$. Moss’s convergence theorem [10] then implies that $\langle \eta, 2, \{D_1\} \rangle$ is not a well-formed Toda bracket. The only possibility is that D_1 does not survive. However, that still leaves two possible differentials: $d_2(D_1) = h_0^2h_3g_2$, or $d_4(D_1) = gn$. We do not know how to rule out the second alternative using only the classical Adams spectral sequence.

Remark 2.10. Mahowald’s original argument for the differential $d_2(D_1)$ used a faulty computation of the Toda bracket $\langle \theta_4, 2, \sigma^2 \rangle$. Partial information about this Toda bracket is used in the construction of a Kervaire invariant element θ_5 in dimension 62 [1]. Our improved understanding of the Toda bracket does not contradict any of the claims of [1].

REFERENCES

- [1] M. G. Barratt, J. D. S. Jones and M. E. Mahowald. Relations amongst Toda brackets and the Kervaire invariant in dimension 62. *J. London Math. Soc.* 30 (1984), 533–550.
- [2] M. G. Barratt, M. E. Mahowald and M. C. Tangora. Some differentials in the Adams spectral sequence. II *Topology*. 9 (1970), 309–316.
- [3] R. R. Bruner, J. P. May, J. E. McClure, and M. Steinberger. H_∞ ring spectra and their applications. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1176, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [4] R. R. Bruner. The Adams d_2 differential. Preprint (2010).
- [5] E. B. Curtis, P. Goerss, M. Mahowald and R. J. Milgram. Calculations of unstable Adams E_2 terms for spheres. *Algebraic topology* (Seattle, Wash., 1985), 208–266, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1286, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [6] D. C. Isaksen. Stable stems. [arXiv:1407.8418](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.8418).
- [7] D. C. Isaksen. Classical and motivic Adams charts. [arXiv:1401.4983](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.4983).
- [8] S. O. Kochman. Stable homotopy groups of spheres, a computer-assisted approach. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1423, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [9] J. P. May. Matric Massey products. *J. Algebra* 12 (1969), 533–568.
- [10] R. M. F. Moss. Secondary compositions and the Adams spectral sequence. *Math. Z.* 115 (1970), 283–310.
- [11] M. Tangora. Some Massey products in Ext. *Topology and representation theory* (Evanston, IL, 1992), 269–280, *Contemp. Math.*, 158, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY, DETROIT, MI 48202
E-mail address: `isaksen@wayne.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60637
E-mail address: `xu@math.uchicago.edu`